

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/687,436	KARP ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Lilian Vo	2195	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Appeal

(1) Lilian Vo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Dan Hu.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 November 2005

**Time:** 4pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: . . . . .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 18 and 23.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's attorney authorized an examiner's amendment to correct the 101 issues and to clarify some of the terms in the claims.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MICHAEL J. ST. AMANT  
SUPERVISOR  
T.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)